

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11965 of 2019
Date of decision : 07.05.2019

Mahipal

...Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Namit Kumar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioner is challenging the orders dated 01.06.2012, 13.11.2015 & 15.09.2016 (Annexures P-3, P-5 & P-7), whereby punishment of stoppage of two increments with permanent effect has been imposed upon him and his appeal and revision have been dismissed. A perusal of the impugned order shows that the petitioner had remained absent for 561 days. Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that his absence from duty was on account of the fact that his leg had got amputated. On this ground, he remained absent from duty.

In case, for the sake of argument, plea of the petitioner is accepted, the revisional order was passed on 15.09.2016 (Annexure P-7) when he was not suffering from any ailment. However, he approached this Court after a delay of more than two years. There is no plausible explanation of delay in filing of the present petition. Moreover, a sympathetic view has already been taken against the petitioner by imposing punishment of stoppage of two annual increments for remaining absent from

duty for such a long time.

No merits.

Dismissed.

07.05.2019

ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No