

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRR (F)-286-2019 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 08.05.2019**

Pardeep

..... Petitioner

Versus

Ritu Saini and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present : Mr. Puneet Kakkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has preferred the instant revision petition under Section 401 Cr.P.C., against the order dated 06.02.2019, passed by the learned Principal, Judge, Family Court, Panipat vide which the respondent No.1-wife and respondent No.2 minor daughter, aged about 2 ½ years, have been equally granted maintenance at the rate of Rs.3,000/- per month.

It has been submitted that the petitioner has now joined law classes and it is difficult for him to maintain his wife and daughter.

I have given my thoughtful consideration to the rival contentions.

At the time of filing revision petition, the petitioner was running a gift shop and earning Rs.70,000/- per month, as asserted in the petition. Now he has no job and he joined the law classes and it is merely difficult for him to maintain his wife and children.

After going through the record, this court is of the opinion that

the maintenance amount awarded to the respondents is not exorbitant, rather it is appropriate to the circumstances of the case. As such, the impugned order does not suffer from any illegality, irregularity or infirmity and the same does not call for any interference and is hereby affirmed.

Therefore, finding no merit in the instant petition, the same is dismissed.

However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that there are chances of compromise between the parties.

In view of above, the Family Court is directed to take efforts for the amicable settlement of the parties by sending the matter to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre at the local head.

08.05.2019
mamta

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No