

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No. 20762 of 2019

Date of decision : 10.05.2019

Geeta

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Taranjit Kaur Hundal, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

This is the second petition filed by the petitioner under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 for grant of anticipatory bail to her in case FIR No. 290 dated 04.09.2017 under Section 22 of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 registered at Police Station – Sadar, Nakodar, Distt. Jalandhar.

Admittedly, the petitioner earlier filed CRM-M No.31298 of 2018 and by considering the quantity to be commercial, this Court was not inclined to grant anticipatory bail and vide order dated 27.07.2018 the petitioner was directed to surrender before the trial Court and move application for regular bail.

A query has been put to learned counsel for the petitioner as to how the second petition for anticipatory bail is maintainable and the only reason which has been stated is that the petitioner was busy because of lodging of case against her husband and she could not surrender.

It is not a ground for anticipatory bail. Meaning thereby the order passed on 27.07.2018 has not been complied with. No ground is made out to release the petitioner on anticipatory bail when earlier petition has been declined.

Dismissed.

10.05.2019

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No