

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

112

**CRM-M-20170-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision:10.05.2019**

SEEMA AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Sunny Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sukhdeep Parmar, DAG, Haryana.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to the official respondents No.1 and 2 for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.3 to 7, as they have married against the wishes of private respondents.

Learned State counsel has filed short reply by way of affidavit of Sudhir Taneja, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jagadhari (Yamuna Nagar) on behalf of respondents along with the statements of petitioners as well as respondents No.3 and 4 (Annexures R-1 to R-4). The same are taken on record and copies of the same is given to the opposite counsel.

In the reply as well as in the statements of petitioners (Annexure R-1 and R-2), it is mentioned that they are residing as husband and wife and are living happily. Respondents No.3 and 4 in their statements

(Annexure R-3 and R-4) also stated that they have no objection with the alleged marriage between the petitioners.

This fact is not disputed by learned counsel for the petitioners, therefore, he does not press the present petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

10.05.2019
sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No