

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1686 of 2019
Date of decision: 12.7.2019

Surinder Singh

.. Petitioner

v.

Jaskiran Singh and another

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. G. S. Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed alleging wilful disobedience of the order dated 26.11.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 29684 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“Keeping in view the request made by counsel for the petitioner, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case and the claim made by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 13.10.2018 (Annexure P-4), the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to decide the claim made by the petitioner in the legal notice dated 13.10.2018 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order. In case, after the decision, it is

found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same should also be released to him within a period of three months thereafter. While passing the speaking order, the respondents will keep in mind the judgments of this Court passed in **A. S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab and others, 1997(3) SCT 468** and **J. S. Cheema Vs. State of Haryana reported as 2014(1) SCT 782** for the grant of interest on the delayed payment.”

Reply by way of affidavit of Jaskiran Singh, IAS, Director, Rural Development and Panchayat, Punjab filed in court is taken on record. Copy thereof has been given to counsel for the petitioner. It has been pleaded that in compliance of the order of this court, order dated 4.2.2019 has been passed. The same is annexed as Annexure-R.1.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that no cause of action survives. However, he states that in case of any grievance, the petitioner be granted liberty to avail the remedies as are available in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty as prayed for.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

12.7.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No