

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Revision No. 2950-2019(O&M)

Date of decision: 06.05.2019

Bishan Lal

.....Petitioner

versus

Manohar Lal

.....Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Bikramjit Aroua, Advocate for the petitioner

1. *Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment?*
2. *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
3. *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant contends that case was fixed for cross-examination of PW nos.1 and 2. His counsel was busy in other Court and could not appear. Consequently, cross-examination of PW Nos.1 and 2 was treated as nil. Application to recall the said order was dismissed vide impugned order dated 6.12.2018.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-defendant seeks only one opportunity to cross-examination PW Nos.1 and 2.

In these circumstances, without going into the controversy and without issuing notice to the opposite party, it is found in the interest of justice that the petitioner -defendant should be given one opportunity to cross-examination PW Nos.1 and 2 subject to costs.

Accordingly, the present revision petition is allowed.

Impugned order is set aside. The petitioner -defendant is allowed to cross-examine PW Nos.1 and 2 subject to costs of Rs.1000/-, for which, one opportunity shall be granted to the counsel. It shall be the duty of the counsel to remain present. The Court shall take up the case in the morning hours so that counsel gets sufficient time for cross-examination.

06.05.2019

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes
Whether Reportable:	No