

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.3158 of 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.05.2019**

**Ajaib Singh
Versus
Naib Singh**

**....Petitioner
.....Respondent**

CORAM: *HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH*

Present:Mr. Rajesh Bhatheja, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH, J.(Oral)

[1]. As per office report, ordinary notice issued to the respondent has been duly served, but none has appeared on behalf of the respondent.

[2]. The only grievance of the petitioner is that execution under Order 21 Rule 32 CPC was filed on 19.11.2014, but the same has not been decided so far. Judgment and decree was passed by the trial Court in a suit for permanent injunction on 10.07.2014.

[3]. Learned counsel for the petitioner stated that the issues have already been framed and the executing Court is proceeding with the execution at a snail pace.

[4]. Perusal of the record would show that an application under Section 151 CPC for allowing the petitioner to re-

construct the demolished roof and to reinstall the broken door of disputed shop at his own costs has already been dismissed vide order dated 06.02.2016. There are some more *zimni* orders on record. From the perusal of interlocutory orders, it appears that number of adjournments have been ordered, but the case has not reached the stage of culmination.

[5]. In view of facts and circumstances of the case, I deem it appropriate to direct the executing Court to proceed with the execution by granting short adjournments and make every endeavour to decide the same preferably within a period of eight months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

[6]. Disposed of.

28.05.2019

Prince

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No