

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.20797 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 27th September, 2019

Vakeel Ram & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Naveen Thakur, Advocate for
Mr. Karan Garg, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for respondents No.2 and 4.

Mr. Amrik Narwal, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for respondents No.1, 3 and 5.

None for respondents No.6 & 7.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel representing State of Haryana has filed reply
on behalf of respondents No.1, 3 and 5. The same is taken on record.

Ms. Sakshi Bakshi, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab on
instructions from ASI Gurnam Singh, Police Station Women, Patiala
submits that she does not want to file any reply.

Upon hearing learned counsel for the two sides and perusal of
the records, the present petition stands disposed off with the direction to
the official respondents to duly consider the representations dated
25.9.2018 (Annexure P6); dated 09.10.2018 (Annexure P7) and dated
29.10.2018 (Annexure P8) fully in accordance with law uninfluenced by

external pressure, expeditiously, preferably within a period of one month from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Further the official respondents shall ensure due protection to the life and personal liberty of the petitioners at the hands of the private respondents. However, this will not bestow upon the petitioners any right to immunity against prosecution, if so necessitated by the investigating agency.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 27, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No