

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-42101-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.3.2019

Mohpreet Singh

... Petitioner(s)

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI

Present: Mr. Paras Talwar, Advocate.
for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. P.S. Paul, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.238 dated 27.12.2013 registered for the offences punishable under Sections 420, 498-A, 377 of Indian Penal Code, at Police Station Morinda, District Rupnagar.

Heard.

Serious allegations have been levelled against the petitioner with regard to demand of dowry, committing of unnatural offence (sodomy) with the wife.

Learned State counsel has placed on record list of articles/istri dhan which were given at the time of marriage on the demand of the petitioner and his family members and nothing has been recovered from

them.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has argued that after selling the land vide sale deed No.25 dated 10.4.2012, an amount of ₹ 45 lacs was spent on the marriage. He further submits that in fact father of the respondent-wife has purchased a house for ₹ 6 lacs vide sale deed No.32 dated 10.4.2012.

It has been further submitted that in fact Deputy Commissioner issued notice that the value of the house was ₹ 45 lacs. However, counsel for the complainant has submitted that said matter is still pending.

During the pendency of the petition, the matter was referred to the Mediation & Conciliation Centre but that remained unsuccessful.

Keeping in view the serious allegations levelled against the petitioner with regard to sodomy, he is not entitled to the concession of anticipatory bail.

Dismissed.

(RAJ SHEKHAR ATTRI)
JUDGE

March 11, 2019
Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No