

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CM No.16311-C of 2019 in

RSA No.279 of 2004

Date of decision: 17.12.2019

Vijay Laxmi now deceased through LRAppellant

VERSUS

Narinder Pal SinghRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE REKHA MITTAL

Present: Mr. Ishan Gupta, Advocate for non-applicant/appellant.

Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate for the applicant-respondent (CM No.16331-C of 2019).

REKHA MITTAL, J.(Oral)

Counsel for the applicant/respondent would state that dispute between legal heir of appellant Vijay Laxmi and applicant/respondent Narinder Pal Singh has been settled by way of compromise (Annexure C/1) and in view thereof, the appeal may be disposed of. Two demand drafts bearing No.231446 (of Rs.9,50,000/-) and 231445 (of Rs.11,50,000/-) dated 16.11.2019 valuing Rs.21 lakhs have been handed over by the applicant-respondent to Faljeet Singh son of Balbir Singh, Special Attorney of Rashim Sharma, legal representative of appellant – Vijay Laxmi (since deceased). The keys have been handed over by counsel for appellant – Vijay Laxmi through Special Attorney of her legal representative to Sh. Narinder Pal Singh-applicant/respondent present in the Court.

Counsel for non-applicant/appellant has got no objection if the appeal is disposed of in view of compromise (Annexure C/1).

On joint request made by counsel for the parties, RSA No.279 of 2004 is taken on board and disposed of in view of compromise (Annexure C/1). Terms and conditions contained in the compromise (Annexure C/1) shall form part of the decree.

DECEMBER 17, 2019
'D. Gulati'

(REKHA MITTAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No