

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11524 of 2019

Date of decision :03.05.2019

Amit Pal

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Ms. Mehak Sawhney, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has participated for the post of Constable Male (GD) under the ESM general category and as per his individual marks (Annexure P-10), he has secured total 37.8 marks and the last selected candidate under the ESM General category has secured 44 marks. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner is 20% permanently disabled and he has not been extended the benefit of physical disability. Learned counsel for the petitioner refers to the advertisement dated 16.04.2018 (Annexure P-4) whereby at page 29 of the paper book reservation has been given as per Haryana Govt. instructions dated 06.03.1972 with respect to disabled ESM/Dependent of Killed/Disabled in action. A perusal of these instructions shows that priority has to be given upto to two dependents of service personnel killed/disabled beyond 50%. The petitioner is 20% disabled and cannot be given preference over and above other ESM. Moreover, he has not secured more than the cut off marks.

Writ petition is dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

03.05.2019

Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No