

Sr. No.119

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20185-2019

Date of decision:03.05.2019

Deepika and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. V.S.Mahal, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J(Oral)

Both the petitioners are present in person and identified by their counsel. They seek protection of their life and liberty by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members/respondents No.4 to 6 and so seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation(Annexure P-7) in this regard to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala on 01.05.2019, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members/respondents.

Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate who is present in Court, has put in appearance on behalf of respondents No. 5 and 6 and submits that respondents No.5 and 6 have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. Respondents No.5 and 6 have stated that petitioner No.1 is free to live her life as per her choice and that they are not concerned with the life of petitioner No.1, Deepika anymore.

Both of them do appear to have crossed the age of majority as

seen from the copies of documents filed and have married each other, in support of which photographs (Annexures P-3) has been placed on record.

For the aforesaid reasons, this appears to be a fit case for this Court to invoke the inherent powers under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. and in view of the mandate contained in Article 21 of the Constitution of India to protect the citizen's right to life and liberty.

Thus, the Senior Superintendent of Police, Kapurthala is directed to consider the representation dated 01.05.2019(Annexure-P7) and take appropriate steps to ensure that no harm is caused to the life and liberty of the petitioners.

It is nevertheless clarified that this order is issued only on the premise that the petitioners have crossed the age of majority as seen from the documents placed on record being Aadhar Cards of petitioners No.1 and 2(Annexures P-5 and P-6). The petitioners have produced on record a copy of their marriage certificate(Annexure P-4). This would not *ipso facto* amount to granting any seal of approval on the legality of their marriage which essentially would come in the domain of the concerned Matrimonial Courts. Further, they would not be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

The petition is disposed of with the above direction.

3rd May, 2019

Shivani Kaushik

[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether Reportable *Yes/No*