

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 11711 of 2019
Date of Decision : 06.05.2019**

Maha Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parmender Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J.(Oral)

After arguing for some time, learned counsel for the petitioners states that as no details of the dates on which though there were holidays but the petitioners discharged the services, have been mentioned in the writ petition or in the legal notice, which have been given by the petitioners. Therefore, petitioners be given liberty to approach the Labour Court under Section 33C (2) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 to raise appropriate claim by giving all the details on which the petitioners worked though, the same were holidays so as to claim the benefit of the same.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

May 06, 2019
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No