

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Sr. No.263

CRM-M-41866-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 6.3.2019

Kamaljit Singh

..... Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. RK Kapila, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Sidhu, DAG, Punjab

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.211 dated 14.12.2016, registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural, under Sections 323, 324, 34 IPC, offence under Section 326 IPC added later on vide DDR No.7 dated 24.12.2016 and Section 307 IPC also added later on, on the basis of compromise (Annexure P3).

Pursuant to order dated 27.11.2017, the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, has submitted his report, wherein on the basis of statements of the petitioner and respondent No.2, has reported that the compromise between the parties has been arrived at voluntarily and without any coercion or pressure and that the same is genuine. A perusal of the record shows that the petitioner and respondent No.2 belong to the same village and the occurrence in question emanated from a petty squabble in the village. Primarily the FIR in question was registered under Section 323, 324 and 34 IPC and the offence under Sections 326 and 307 IPC, were allegedly added later on. As per the report of the Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, since the parties have amicably

settled their rancour against each other and have decided to live with peace and tranquility in order to maintain harmony in the village of the parties and in the interest of justice, I deem it appropriate to quash the FIR.

In view of the above, the petition is allowed and FIR No.211 dated 14.12.2016, registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural, under Sections 323, 324, 34 IPC, offence under Section 326 IPC added later on vide DDR No.7 dated 24.12.2016 and Section 307 IPC also added later on, alongwith all consequential proceedings having arisen therefrom, is quashed qua the petitioner.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE

6.3.2019
Ramandeep Singh
Whether speaking / reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes / No
Yes/ No