

209.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-19949-2019

Date of decision: 21.11.2019.

ANITA YADAV

... Petitioner

versus

STATE OF UNION TERRITORY, CHANDIGARH

.... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARI PAL VERMA

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Girdhar, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Kr. Yashwant Singh Rathore, Addl.P.P., U.T., Chandigarh.

Mr. Jitender Dhanda and Mr. Gobind Dhanda, Advocates,
for the complainant.

HARI PAL VERMA, J.(Oral)

Prayer in this petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.0061 dated 08.03.2019 registered under Sections 304-B/34 of IPC at Police Station South, Sector 34, Chandigarh.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to the order dated 17.09.2019 passed by this Court, petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of UT, Chandigarh, has not disputed the aforesaid fact.

Learned counsel for the complainant states that merely because the petitioner is staying in Delhi i.e. away from the house of the in-laws of the deceased, is no ground to admit the petitioner on bail when there are

specific allegation in the suicide note that she raised hand and broken her *mangalsutra*.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Considering the fact that the petitioner is a married sister-in-law of the deceased-Reeta Kumari and residing in Delhi for the last more than 6 years and relying upon the medical record so attached with the petition, the present petition is allowed and the interim bail granted to the petitioner vide order dated 17.09.2019 is made absolute.

However, if required, the petitioner shall continue to join investigation as and when required to do so and shall abide by the terms and conditions, as laid down under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

(HARI PAL VERMA)
JUDGE

21.11.2019
sanjeev

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No