

244/8

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

Date of decision: 21.08.2019

1. COCP-1675-2019

Ranjan Aggarwal

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

2. COCP-1656-2019

Salwinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

3. COCP-1657-2019

M/s Neel Kanth & Company

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

4. COCP-1658-2019

Hecate Power Distributors Limited

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

5. COCP-1659-2019

Sukhwinder Singh

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

6. COCP-1662-2019

Hecate Power Generation Limited

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

7. COCP-1664-2019

Nawanshahar Friends Company

...Petitioner

vs.

Sarvjit Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Ms. Aashna Gill, Advocate in CWP-1675-2019 &
Mr. Rahul Vijay Singh Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kanisth Ganeriwala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

This order shall dispose of above said seven contempt petitions.

These contempt petitions have been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order dated 25.02.2019 in CWP No. 18328 of 2018 and order dated 17.11.2018 passed in CWP No. 17533, 18328, 18920, 18956, 19113, 19215 & 19093 of 2018. The operational part of the order is:-

“Mr. Sarvjit Singh, Principal Secretary is present in Court.

Learned Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions, states that the security amount of the petitioners shall be refunded to them within a period of 8 weeks from today.

Adjourned to 01.02.2019.

In the meantime, we expect that the State would act on its assurance given to the Court.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of aforesaid

connected cases.”

The writ petition was disposed of as the counsel appearing for the State of Punjab, on instructions stated that the security amount of the petitioners shall be refunded to them within a period of eight weeks.

Learned counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that the said amount has been refunded.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that certificate with regard to the Tax Collection At Source has not been issued.

The said issue does not arise from the order passed by this Court, however, there is no doubt that in case, the petitioners approach the respondent for issuance of the said certificate, the same shall be issued expeditiously.

In view of the above, the above numbered petitions are disposed of as infructuous

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

21st August, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No