

Present:- Mr. Dhruv Mittal, Advocate,
for Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate, for the appellant.

On July 03, 2018, the following order had been passed:-

“This appeal, which otherwise stands admitted to regular hearing, has been put up in view of the fact that though learned counsel who had filed the appeal had been requested to take steps for service of respondent no.3, as he is not stated to be residing at the address given in the memo of parties, the needful has still not been done.

Mr. Arun Jain, learned counsel who had filed the appeal, having been designated as a Senior Advocate, Mr. Abhishek Dhull appears for Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate (though even the power of attorney of Mr. Amit Jain is not on record). He submits that the power of attorney in favour of Mr. Amit Jain, on behalf of the appellant, would be duly filed and that he would also take steps to determine the address of respondent no.3 / take appropriate steps as regards service of the aforesaid respondent.

On his request, adjourned to 26.11.2018.”

Mr. Dhruv Mittal, Advocate, today appears for Mr. Amit Jain, learned counsel for the appellant, and submits that no fresh power of attorney has been issued in favour of Mr. Amit Jain, Advocate, by the appellant. As the appellant could not be contacted, his counsel appearing for him in the 1st appeal out of which this 2nd appeal eventually arises, was contacted, i.e. Mr. SPS Bhullar, who stated that as a matter of fact the appellant has vacated the suit premises, and consequently the appeal itself has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

16.01.2019
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge