

106
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/05/2019 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2876-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 20.5.2019

Ganga Singh and others Petitioner (s)
Versus
Satnam Singh and others Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. J.S. Mahal, Advocate,
for petitioner.

Mr. Dheeraj Mahajan, Advocate,
for caveator.

:-

:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard. The application for additional evidence was allowed by trial Court, subject to costs to opposite party, vide order dated 28.1.2019 (Annexure-P-4).

Caveator has produced photocopy of interim order dated 4.2.2019, passed by learned Additional District Judge, Gurdaspur, in Court today, showing that costs to opposite party, have been paid and accepted by petitioners. Photocopy of interim order dated 4.2.2019, produced in Court today is taken on record.

The learned counsel for respondents-caveators produced authority of this Court in Smt. Raj Rani and another Versus Nisha Rani, 2019 (1) PLR 239 wherein while relying upon various authorities, it was held that once costs are accepted, petitioners are estopped from challenging impugned order, vide which additional evidence was allowed. There is no ground to interfere in impugned order. Thus, revision is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

20.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No