

226 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-42493-2018 (O&M)

Date of decision:08.01.2019.

Naveen Aggarwal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. S.K.Narwana, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Naveen Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for quashing of the FIR No.837 dated 28.09.2017 under Sections 420, 467, 468 & 471 IPC registered at Police Station Sector 7, District Faridabad and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom.

Notice of motion was issued in this case on 27.09.2018.

Pursuant to that, the State has filed reply by Balbir Singh, HPS, Assistant Commissioner of Police, Ballabgarh, District Faridabad, on behalf of respondent No.1, which is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out that in para No.5, in preliminary submissions of the reply, it has been written that the present petition has been rendered infructuous because the petitioner is not an accused nor is he required for any further investigation.

Learned State counsel, being instructed by ASI Pardeep Kumar, has reiterated this assertion made in the reply. He has submitted that in connection with the FIR challenged in the present petition, the present petitioner is neither an accused nor is he required for any investigation

purposes; in any capacity whatsoever. He has further submitted that he has no objection, if the present FIR is quashed qua the present petitioner only.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

08.01.2019
Hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether reportable	Yes / No