

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No.1652 of 2019
Date of Decision: 30.08.2019**

Kusum Lata Sharma

Petitioner

Versus

D. Suresh, IAS, Chief Administrator, HUDA, Panchkula

Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Vaibhav Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate for
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of order annexed as Annexure P-1 with the contempt petition.

The relevant portion of the order is reproduced below:-

“(6) The writ petition is thus disposed of with a direction to the Chief Administrator, HUDA to consider the claim of the petitioner as contained in her representation dated 20.07.2018 (P7) or to treat this writ petition as a comprehensive representation on her behalf and decide the same by way of a reasoned order

within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

Learned counsel for the respondent states that representation has been decided and order would be communicated to the petitioner within two weeks from today.

In view of the statement made, the contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

However, in case of grievance against order passed by the respondent, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law. In case the statement made today in the Court is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

August 30, 2019

pankaj baweja

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |