

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M No.42423 of 2018

Date of Decision: 07.01.2019

RajivPetitioner

Versus

State of HaryanaRespondent

BEFORE :- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present:- Mr. Lovish Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, A.A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

This petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.132 dated 22.06.2016 registered under Sections 302/148/149/121-B of the Indian Penal Code at Police Station Sadar Narwana, District Jind.

Petitioner is claiming regular bail on the custody of two years and six months.

Learned State counsel has not disputed the custody period but has opposed the bail of the petitioner on the ground that out of total 18 witnesses, 17 witnesses have been examined and the next date before the trial Court is fixed for 18.01.2019.

Keeping in view the stage of trial and also the fact that out of total 18 witnesses, 17 witnesses have been examined and the next date before the trial Court is very short, no ground is made out to release the petitioner on regular bail.

The petition is accordingly dismissed.

However, the trial Court is directed to make all efforts to expedite the trial.

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

07.01.2019
gurpreet

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether Reportable Yes/No