

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-20340-2019

Date of decision:30.10.2019

VIKAS PURI

.....Petitioners

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Kiran Bala Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Brijesh Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Gaurav Sethi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

GURVINDER SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner seeks quashing of FIR No. 648 dated 1.11.2017 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar and proceedings emanating therefrom on the basis of a compromise having been effected between the parties.
2. Vide order dated 24.7.2019 the parties had been directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate so as to get the statements recorded with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

3. Report of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jagadhri, has been received wherein it has been reported that statement of complainant-Sakshi as well as of the petitioner/accused Vikas Puri, have been recorded to the effect that they have compromised the matter amongst themselves and they have no objection in case the FIR is quashed.
4. Learned Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jagadhri, has specifically opined that the parties have entered into compromise voluntarily without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence.
5. In view of the aforesaid compromise and bearing in mind the law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in 2007(3) RCR (CrL.) 1052 Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab, the petition is allowed and FIR No. 648 dated 1.11.2017 under Sections 498-A, 406 IPC, Police Station City Yamuna Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar and all the consequent proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed qua the petitioner.

(GURVINDER SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

30.10.2019
Gaurav Sorot

Whether reasoned / speaking?	Yes / No
Whether reportable?	Yes / No