

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

125

**CWP No.12336 of 2019
Date of Decision : 10.05.2019**

HITESH

....PETITIONER

V/S

**CENTRAL BOARD OF SECONDARY EDUCATION, PANCHKULA
AND ANOTHER**

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE B.S. WALIA

Present: Mr. R.S. Jhand, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. WALIA, J. (ORAL)

[1] At the very outset, learned counsel states that representation (Annexure P-6) submitted by the petitioner to respondent No.1 was to be decided by respondent No.1 and despite respondent No.2 having made recommendations to respondent No.1 vide Annexure P-5 to make the necessary changes, no decision has been taken by respondent No.1 with regard to the changes in the name as sought for in the record.

[2] Learned counsel states that in the circumstances, the petitioner would be satisfied if at this stage, the writ petition is disposed of by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation of the petitioner (Annexure P-6) in accordance with law, within a time bound manner.

[3] In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** by directing respondent No.1 to consider and decide the representation (Annexure P-6) as per the recommendations forwarded by respondent No.2 to respondent No.1 on the representation of the petitioner.

[4] Decision be taken in the matter within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

(B.S. WALIA)
JUDGE

May 10, 2019
‘Rajneesh’

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No