

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

122

CWP-11526-2019

Date of Decision: May 03, 2019

Karnail Singh and another

.....Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE JITENDRA CHAUHAN

Present: Mr. Nitin Sachdeva, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab

JITENDRA CHAUHAN , J. (Oral)

This writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos. 1 to 5 for taking appropriate action against respondent Nos.6 to 13 and to act upon representation dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-4 Colly).

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that at this stage he would be satisfied, if a direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Panchayat & Rural Development, Mohali to consider and decide the representation dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-4 Colly).

Heard.

A complete set of paper book has been handed over to Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Punjab in the Court today.

In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Director, Panchayat & Rural Development, Mohali, to consider and decide the representation

dated dated 04.02.2019 (Annexure P-4 Colly) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the judgment. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioners is admissible to them, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to them, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioners is not admissible or made out, in that case, a speaking order be passed in the matter.

May 03, 2019

*sonia arora***[JITENDRA CHAUHAN]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No