

224 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-20004 OF 2019(O&M)
Date of Decision: 21.11.2019

RubyPetitioner

versus

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present Mr.Anureet S.Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG,Punjab.
Mr.K.S.Brar, Advocate, for complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

CRM-28350-2019

The application is for amendment in the head note and prayer
clause of the petition.

Issue notice to the non applicant-respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Dhruv Dayal, Sr.DAG, Punjab,
accepts notice on behalf of the non applicant – respondent.

Heard.

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed.

Amended petition appended with the application is taken on
record.

Main case

1. The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.68 dated
16.07.2017 under Sections 302/364/323/324/148 and 149 IPC, registered at
Police Station Sadar Abohar, District Fazilka.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that no specific

over two years and two months and similarly situated co-accused namely Gurdeep Singh and Jatinder Singh had already been granted regular bail by the co-ordinate Bench in **CRM-M-4981-2019**, decided on **27.03.2019** and **CRM-M-5002-2019**, decided on **27.03.2019** respectively. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other criminal case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh, states that charges have been framed and 4 out of 20 prosecution witnesses have been examined. He is not in a position to controvert that similarly situated co-accused namely Sukhdev Singh and Tej Singh have been granted regular bail by the co-ordinate Bench of this Court.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for over two years and two months; similarly situated co-accused have been granted bail; he is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

21.11.2019
mamta

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No