

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(i) CRM No.M-20299 of 2019 (O&M)

Varinder Kumar

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

(ii) CRM No.M-21363 of 2019 (O&M)

Suresh Kumar and another

...Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab

...Respondent

Date of Decision: May 27, 2019

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE INDERJIT SINGH

Present: Mr.K.B.S.Mann, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms.Monika Jalota, DAG, Punjab
for the respondent-State.

Mr.K.S.Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

INDERJIT SINGH, J.

Both the above-mentioned cases are taken up together as these
have arisen from same FIR.

Petitioners have filed these petitions under Section 438 Cr.P.C.

for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.6 dated 01.12.2019 under Sections 420 and 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station City Fazilka.

Notice of motion were issued. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for the complainant appeared and contested the petitions.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties as well as learned State counsel and have gone through the record.

The petitioners have already joined the investigation. They are not the main accused. The petitioners are not required for custodial interrogation. The case is based on documentary evidence. No useful purpose will be served by sending and keeping the petitioners in custody till the disposal of the case.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and without discussing the facts of the case in minute details and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, I find it a fit case, where petitioners are entitled to benefit of anticipatory bail. Therefore, both the petitions are accepted and the order dated 06.05.2019 passed in CRM No.M-20299 of 2019 and order dated 10.05.2019 passed in CRM No.M-21363 of 2019 granting interim bail to the petitioners, are made absolute.

May 27, 2019
Vgulati

(INDERJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes
No