

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

214

CRM-M-42010-2018 (O & M)
Date of Decision: September 26, 2019

TIKKA SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. I.S.Mann, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. N.K. Banka, DAG, Punjab.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking cancellation of anticipatory bail granted to respondent No.2 in FIR No.78 dated 15.08.2018 under Sections 307, 336, 427, 506, 34 IPC registered at Police Station Bariwala, District Sri Muktsar Sahib.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the case against respondent No.2 is rather serious and he ought not to have been granted anticipatory bail.

Learned State counsel upon instructions from ASI Jaswinder Singh state that although the challan has been filed but charges are yet to be framed.

Heard.

It is manifest from reading of the FIR that unidentified person was driving a jeep which tried to run over the complainant with a view to kill him.

It is alleged that the complainant fell down from the motorcycle and received

injuries on his left arm. It is also stated that although the jeep was driven by an unidentified person but the person who was sitting on the next seat of the driver was identified as respondent No.2. Besides, allegation against respondent No.2 is sitting in the jeep, there is no other allegation which would connect respondent No.2 with the commission of the offence. It is also not the case of the petitioner that respondent No.2 has in any manner misused the concession of bail.

Consequently, I do not find any error in the order granting bail to the respondent No.2.

The petition stands dismissed.

It is clarified that any observation made hereinbefore shall not have bearing on the merits of the case.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

September 26, 2019

A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No