

242 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-40958-2017 (O&M)

Decided on: 22.04.2019

Ram Bilas

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

Coram: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present: Mr. Tara Chand Dhanwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Dev Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Rajbir Sehrawat, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for issuance of direction to respondent No.2 to conduct enquiry and to lodge FIR against the officer/officials of respondent No.3 and further for a direction to respondent No.3 to withdraw the notice dated 16.05.2016 (Annexures P-8 to P-10).

Reply filed by State shows that the petitioner has, in fact, not deposited the entire amount of rent for the tea stall which he had taken on rent at the Court compound. Therefore, notices were rightly issued to the petitioner to make the complete payment.

Although, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has deposited the entire amount, however, even he is not able to give complete details of deposit of rent for the entire period upto the year 2016.

In any case, the matter involves highly disputed facts which could not be gone into in the proceedings under Section 482 Cr.P.C. The main grievance of the petitioner is against the notice being served upon him.

If the petitioner wants to claim that he has paid the entire amount of rent, he

would be at liberty to approach the civil Court through appropriate remedy.

In view of the above, this Court does not find any ground to pass any further orders in the present petition.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the present petition, with liberty to avail alternate civil remedy, in accordance with law.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with aforesaid liberty.

22.04.2019

hemlata

[RAJBIR SEHRAWAT]
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>