

209

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-19513 of 2019**

**Date of decision: July 18, 2019**

Jaspal Singh alias Jassa Master

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU**

**Present:** Mr. Ithlesh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

\*\*\*\*\*

**MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J (Oral)**

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner, in FIR No.20 dated 21.03.2019, under Sections 307, 341, 506, 148, 149 of Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Sections 25, 54, 59 of Arms Act, 1959, registered at Police Station Dhilwan, District Kapurthala.

As per prosecution case, petitioner raised *lalkara* to teach lesson to son of the complainant.

On 01.05.2019, while issuing notice of motion, this Court has passed the following order:-

*“Contends that only lalkara has been attributed to the petitioner.*

*Notice of motion for 18.07.2019.*

*In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to join the investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of*

*his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail in the present case till the next date of hearing on his furnishing adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”*

Learned State counsel, on instructions from Assistant Sub Inspector Kewal Singh, has submitted that petitioner has joined investigation in terms of order dated 01.05.2019 and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 01.05.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

**(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)**  
**JUDGE**

**July 18, 2019**

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: **Yes**

Whether Reportable: **No**