

328

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP-1613-2019

Date of decision: 26.08.2019

Virender Singh Malhan

.. Petitioner

Versus

D.Suresh IAS, Chief Administrator

.. Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. SanjeevMajra, Advocate
for the respondent.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

This contempt petition has been filed as the respondent-
Department i.e. HUDA (now known as HSVP) has failed to take a decision
on the representation filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the parties are, *ad idem*, that the personal
hearing has already been granted to the petitioner for the decision on the
representation.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the order
would be passed within a period of three weeks and the same will be
communicated to the petitioner.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the respondent,
the contempt petition is disposed of.

In case, the statement made today is not adhered to, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

Rule issued against respondent is discharged.

26th August, 2019
shabha

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No