

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-2852-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision:2.5.2019

Surjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Satwinder Kaur and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. H.S. Batth, Advocate for the petitioner.

KULDIP SINGH, J.

Heard.

Petitioner is aggrieved by the order dated 20.9.2017 (Annexure P-3), passed by learned Additional District Judge, Tarn Taran vide which maintenance of Rs. 4,000/- was allowed to respondent No. 1-wife of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that wife has already been allowed maintenance of Rs. 5,000/- per month in the proceedings under Section 125 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.

If it is so, the petitioner can always pray before the learned trial Court that wife can get maintenance in one case.

Disposed of.

**(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE**

2.5.2019

preeti

Whethers peaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No