

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-12099-2019

Date of decision: - 08.05.2019

Sohan Singh and others

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present:- Mr. Virinder Kumar Shukla, Advocate
for the petitioners.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners argues that the service which the petitioners have rendered in the cadre of Supervisors in Adult Education Scheme has already been counted as a qualifying service for the grant of pension, but the said service has been excluded while computing the leave encashment, which benefit is also a pensionary benefit and hence, the respondents are liable to be directed to grant the benefit of the service which the petitioners rendered as Supervisors in Adult Education Scheme while computing the leave encashment also.

Counsel for the petitioners further states that for the relief which has been sought in the present writ petition, petitioners have served the respondents with a demand notice on 01.03.2019 (Annexure P-5), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the

petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said demand notice.

In view of the request made, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case or the claim being made by the petitioners, the respondents are directed to decide the demand notice dated 01.03.2019 (Annexure P-5) by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Present writ petition stands disposed of.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

May 08, 2019
naresh.k

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes
Whether reportable?	No