

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-403-2019.

Decided on : September 23, 2019.

Prakash

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

* * *

PRESENT None for the petitioner.

Mr.Munish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

A criminal writ under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, has been filed for issuance of a writ in the nature of *habeas corpus*.

Keeping in view the allegations made in the petition, the Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, was directed to examine the allegations and file his status report.

The Superintendent of Police, Rohtak, has filed an affidavit to apprise this Court about the facts. The relevant paras no.11, 12 and 13 of the affidavit are as under: -

“ 11. That on 25.07.2019, Vikram, Sher Singh @ Shera and Shev w/o Sher Singh moved an application for anticipatory bail in the Court of Ms.Ritu Y.K.Behal, Addl. Sessions Judge, Rohtak, and the Court has ordered them to join investigation till 29.7.2019 and all the above said accused joined the investigation on 26.7.2019 but no evidence was found against them for their arrest.

12. That on 19.8.2019, Vijay, husband of Vaijanti alias Vijanti produced a C.D. which was having a video clip of Vaijanti alias Vijanti. On 20.8.2019, Vijay told that the said video has been uploaded on the face book at the ID Vijanti:Sankhla and two letters have been written to Facebook Inc to know the IP address of the said facebook account but no information regarding the said account has been received till date. The father and husband of Vaijanti have presented their statements on 19.9.2019 before the police admitting that the voice in the CD is of Vaijanti.

13. That as per the contents of the CD, Vaijanti is alleging that her father had sold her to Vijay. She has also alleged that Vijay asked her to sleep with other men and when she complained to her parents in this regard, they extended threat to kill her if she discloses that fact to anyone.”

In view of the aforesaid affidavit, no further order is required to be passed.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

September 23, 2019.
raj arora

Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No