

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-PIL No. 98 of 2019
Date of decision: 08.05.2019

Anju Bansal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KRISHNA MURARI, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI, JUDGE

Present: Ms. Anju Bansal, petitioner in person.

The petitioner, who appears in person, purport to have approached this Court in Public Interest and has claimed the following reliefs:-

“(A) Prayed to pass orders in the Public Interest Litigation under Article 226 of the Constitution of India against the order dated 15.03.2019 passed in false and frivolous CROCP No.13 of 2014 by the double bench of justice Jaswant Singh and Justice Arun Kumar Tyagi and praying and prayed to pass orders to prevent abuse of the process of the Court and ends of justice and protection of the disabled petitioner/victim U/S 7 of the Rights of persons with disabilities Act 2016 for protection from abuse, violence and exploitation by stopping the unnecessary harassment from the senior judges of the Punjab and Haryana High Court Chandigarh in connivance with the relative judges of the respondent No.2 along with the team of senior advocates of Punjab and Haryana High Court.

(B) Prayed to pass orders to stay the proceedings in the

CROCP No.13 of 2014 and further prayed to decide the case on merits by your own Court.

- (C) *Prayed to pass orders and direct the respondent No.3 PPS to the Hon'ble Chief Justice of Punjab and Haryana High Court to produce the complaint vide letter No.-K 14012/14/2015-US-2 and complaint of letter No.L-15012/2/2016-JUS.1 and the complaint send by way of affidavit on dated 29.05.2015.*
- (D) *Prayed to pass orders and direct the respondent No.4 Registrar General of Punjab and Haryana High Court Chandigarh for fact finding inquiry of the CRR 2028/2012 and CRR 230/2013.*
- (E) *Prayed to pass any other order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the facts and circumstances of the case.”*

Albeit, the petition at hands is styled as Public Interest Litigation but a bare analysis of the averments set out therein shows that it is centered on purely personal and private interest of the petitioner. Further, the order dated 15.03.2019, the petitioner is aggrieved by, is passed by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court in proceedings arising out of a criminal contempt, i.e. **CROCP No.13 of 2014 (Kewal Krishan Vs. Anju Bansal and others)**, against the petitioner (contemner) herself. In fact, the nature of the reliefs that have been prayed for: *“to pass orders to stay the proceedings in the CROCP No.13 of 2014 and further prayed to decide the case on merits by your own Court,* raise serious issues as regards the feasibility of such a prayer before a Co-ordinate Bench. We refrain ourselves to delve further in the matter, lest it prejudice the case/interest of the petitioner in different proceedings that are pending against her before this Court. Suffice it to say, this petition has been filed for an oblique

purpose.

In so far as the grievance being expressed by the petitioner that proceedings in the criminal contempt are pending against her since long and, therefore, orders be passed requiring the Co-ordinate Bench to decide the matter expeditiously: we are afraid that this Court lacks authority and jurisdiction to issue any such direction. However, she be assured that her concerns in this regard would be addressed on the administrative side.

In the wake of the above, for, the petition is bereft of any public interest, the same is accordingly dismissed being not maintainable. However, for the grievances as also the reliefs being sought are personal to the petitioner, she is at liberty to pursue or resort to the remedies as admissible in law.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion upon the pending proceedings against the petitioner or initiated at her behest.

(KRISHNA MURARI)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

08.05.2019

Rajan/Manoj Bhutani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No