

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.3245 of 2002 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 19.08.2019.

Satyawar and another	Versus	...Petitioners
State of Haryana and others		...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S.Walia

Present: Ms. Neha Rana, Advocate for
Mr. R.N. Lohan, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

B.S.WALIA, J. (Oral)

CM No.10060-CWP of 2019

Allowed as prayed for. Copy of order dated 21.03.2016 is
taken on record as Annexure P/3.

CWP No.3245 of 2002

1. Learned counsel states that on the basis of recommendation of the Screening Committee, the appointment of the petitioners as Helpers was regularized in the month of May, 1997 but subsequently their services were ordered to be retrenched vide notice Annexure P/2 dated 05.02.2002. The petitioners challenged order Annexure P/2 by way of instant writ petition and operation of which was stayed vide order dated 28.02.2002. The petitioners were continued in service and subsequently were made regular and have been promoted vide order Annexure P/3 dated

21.03.2016. Learned counsel states that in the circumstances the writ petition has become infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

2. Learned Sr. DAG states that in view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, he has no objection if the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

3. In view of the statement of learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is disposed of as having become infructuous.

(B.S.WALIA)
JUDGE

19.08.2019

rajesh.k.khurana

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No