

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11513 of 2019
Date of decision :03.05.2019

Sudesh Devi

...Petitioner(s)

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. C.R. Narwal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has participated for the post of Female Constable (general duty) and as per the individual marks on the website (Annexure P-8), she has secured 44 marks in the written examination and 10 marks for qualification. Total marks are 54.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that she has not been given 5 marks under the socio economic criteria as no family member is in govt. job. However in the application form (Annexure P-2), she has inadvertently marked her answer 'Yes' under the column of socio-economic criteria. On a specific query put to him, he states that after giving the application form, till the date of interview, she did not give any written representation to the Department to make correction in this column alongwith undertaking that no family member is in govt. job.

Since the final result has been declared, her request for awarding marks under the socio-economic criteria cannot be entertained.

Dismissed.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

03.05.2019
Divyanshi

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

:
:

Yes/No
Yes/No