

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

207

**CRM-M-40902-2018
Date of Decision: 03.05.2019**

CHHATAR PAL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA & ORS

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr.Avtar Singh Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Nagar Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rakesh Bakshi, Advocate
for respondents No.2 and 3.

Mr. R.K.Rohilla, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The application is for cancellation of bail granted to respondents
No.2 to 4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that after the grant of
anticipatory bail by the Sessions Judge, Ambala on 05.09.2018 in FIR No.274
dated 12.08.2018, under Sections 420/120-B IPC, registered at Police Station
Ambala City, District Ambala, the respondents having threatening the
petitioner, the petitioner has also preferred a complaint in this regard to the
Superintendent of Police, Ambala.

Learned counsel for the private respondents have, however, denied
the allegations of the petitioner that he was threatened by them. He has further

contended that the dispute is with regard to the public passage and a civil suit in this regard has already been filed by respondents No.2 to 4.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Raghbir Singh, submits that the investigation has been completed and the '*challan*' has been presented, before the Court.

After hearing learned counsel for the parties, I do not find any good ground to cancel the anticipatory bail granted to respondents No.2 to 4. Merely on the unsubstantiated oral averments of the petitioner that he had been threatened by respondents No.2 to 4, the liberty of respondents No.2 to 4 cannot be jeopardised. However, respondents No.2 to 4 would not misuse the concession of bail or try to threaten or intimidate the witnesses and in case they do so, the petitioner would be at liberty to bring this to the notice of this Court. However, at this stage, there is no material which would not justify the cancellation of bail.

Consequently, the petition stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

May 03, 2019

anju

Whether speaking/ reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No