

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M No.40895 of 2018

Date of decision: 3rd September, 2019

Shivam Verma & another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab & another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE FATEH DEEP SINGH

Present: Mr. Zorawar S. Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. G.S. Bawa, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Harbir Sandhu, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent No.1/State.

Mr. Kulwinder S. Dhillon, Advocate for
Mr. D.S. Pheruman, Advocate for respondent No.2.

FATEH DEEP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Report dated 11.12.2018 of learned Judicial Magistrate 1st

Class, Amritsar has been received whereby after recording statements of complainant Mehak Bhatia and the accused persons namely Shivam Verma and Rekha Verma, the Court has shown its satisfaction that the compromise has been arrived at voluntarily, out of free will of the parties, without any undue influence, coercion or pressure.

Learned counsel for the complainant/respondent No.2 as well as learned counsel for the accused/petitioners have made statement that as per instructions received, the parties had given final effect to their agreement settlement/compromise (Annexure P2) and that nothing is due

towards anyone on account of this settlement and that the final effect be given to this petition.

Heard.

In the light of the satisfaction shown by the Court and the fact that the dispute between the parties being a pure matrimonial and the offenses for which the accused have been hauled up are not of serious nature, together with the fact that compromise will go a long way in ironing out differences for betterment of future life of the parties and in view of the law laid down in '**Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**' 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the prayer made in the petition is allowed, proceedings by way of FIR No.60 dated 11.10.2016 under Sections 498A, 406 IPC pertaining to Police Station Women, Amritsar and all consequences arising out of the said FIR qua the petitioners are quashed.

The petition stands disposed off in those terms.

(FATEH DEEP SINGH)
JUDGE

September 3, 2019

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No