

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

242

CRM-M-19256-2019

DATE OF DECISION: 18.10.2019

VIRENDER SINGH

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Suvir Sidhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R. Singla, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate for the complainant.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.10 dated 14.01.2018, under Sections 120-B/384 IPC (Section 389 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Garhi, District Jind.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner along with the co-accused Deepika, Jaskarandeep and Narinder had been blackmailing the complainant and falsely implicated them in a case under Section 376 IPC. He contends that the petitioner is in custody for over 1 year and 9 months. He further contends that the petitioner is not involved in any other case. He also contends that the co-accused Jaskarandeep has expired while co-accused Deepika has been granted regular bail by this Court on 22.03.2018 in CRM-M-11316-2018.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from SI Jagdish Kumar, states that 3 out of 15 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of the learned counsel for the petitioner, especially when the petitioner is in custody for about 1 year 9 months, he is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

18.10.2019.
SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes / No
Whether reportable	:	Yes / No