

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No. 1593 of 2019
Date of decision: 21.8.2019

Krishna Devi

.. Petitioner

v.

P. K. Das and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep S. Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

...

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed pleading wilful disobedience of the order dated 30.10.2018 passed by this Court in CWP No. 27720 of 2018. The operative part of the said order is reproduced below:

“In view of the above, without adverting to the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- Director General School Education, Haryana to consider and decide the legal notice dated 14.8.2018 (Annexure P-5) within six weeks from the receipt of the certified copy of the order. In case, on consideration, the competent authority reaches to the conclusion that the benefit claimed by the petitioner is admissible to her, in such eventuality, the consequential relief be allowed to her, within a period of six weeks thereafter. However, in case the competent authority feels that the relief claimed by the petitioner is not admissible or made out, in that case, a

speaking order be passed in the matter.”

Pursuant to the notice of motion issued, short reply by way of affidavit of Parmeshwari Hooda, District Education Officer, Rohtak has been filed. Along with the reply, order dated 30.4.2019 has been annexed. In the order, it has been stated that through written request dated 2.5.2015 the petitioner refused to join the duty as she had attained the age of 60 years. It has further been stated that other benefit, i.e. payment of 50% back wages has already been made and the Execution Petition filed by the petitioner in Civil Court, Rohtak has been dismissed being fully satisfied.

None has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner in spite of two pass overs.

In view of the order passed, the contempt petition has been rendered infructuous. However, it is made clear that in case any grievance still survives against the order, the petitioner would be at liberty to avail the remedies in accordance with law against the order passed.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)
JUDGE

21.8.2019
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No