

104
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/11/2019 14:33
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2844-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 7.5.2019

Chaman Lal Petitioner (s)
Versus
Gurmail Singh Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Roopam Jain, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-:-

-:-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Heard.

Impugned in present revision is order dated 22.2.2019, passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), SAS Nagar, Mohali, vide which an application filed by petitioner-plaintiff to examine handwriting and finger print expert, was dismissed.

It comes out that suit for recovery of Rs. 3,94,181/-, on account of bahi entries, was filed by petitioner-plaintiff, stating that loan was taken by defendant-respondent. In the written statement, defendant-respondent had denied loan. Defendant-respondent had signed account books of petitioner-plaintiff. Petitioner-plaintiff led evidence. Thereafter, defendant-respondent also closed evidence on 30.10.2018. There is no issue in rebuttal, on which handwriting and finger print expert is to be examined. As such, evidence, if any, is to be led in affirmative. There is no ground to examine handwriting and finger print expert. Case is fixed for rebuttal evidence. The case is ripe. There is no ground to allow examination of handwriting and finger print expert, which will amount to allow additional evidence. Thus, revision petition is dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

7.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order	:	Yes	/	No
Whether reportable	:	Yes	/	No