

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111

Date of decision: 24.05.2019

CWP-11217-2019

THE NEW HARYANA OFFICERS COOPERATIVE GROUP SOCIETY
LTD. PANCKULA THROUGH ITS PRESIDENT

...PETITIONER

VS

ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY COOPERATION GOVT. OF
HARYANA & ANR

...RESPONDENTS

WITH CWP-11252-2019

THE NEW HARYANA OFFICES COORPERATIVE GROUP
HOUSING SOCIETY LTD.

...PETITIONER

V/S

ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY COOPERATION GOVT. OF
HARYANA & ANR

...RESPONDENTS

WITH CWP-11261-2019

THE NEW HARYANA OFFICERS COOPERATIVE GROUP
HOUSING SOCIETY LTD. PANCHKULA THROUGH ITS
PRESIDENT

V/S

ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY COOPERATION GOVT. OF
HARYANA & ANR

...RESPONDENTS

WITH CWP-11280-2019

THE NEW HARYANA OFFICERS COOPERATIVE GROUP
HOUSING SOCIETY LTD. PANCHKULA THROUGH ITS
PRESIDENT

V/S

ADDITIONAL CHIEF SECRETARY COOPERATION GOVT. OF
HARYANA & ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Akshay Jindal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Pradeep Prakash Chahar, DAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Status report by way of affidavit of the Additional Chief Secretary to Govt. of Haryana, Cooperation, Chandigarh dated 24.05.2019 filed in Court, taken on record, copy supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

Counsel for the State asserts that the revision petitions would be decided at the earliest as per the status report. However, he has specific telephonic instructions that these revision petitions, which are the subject matter of these writ petitions, will be finally decided within a period of six months from the date which is fixed before the Additional Chief Secretary, Cooperation, Govt. of Haryana i.e. 31.05.2019.

Learned counsel for the State further asserts that the cases have been adjourned at the behest of the petitioners, which factum is disputed by the counsel for the petitioner and he states that no adjournment would be sought by the petitioner and in case, an adjournment is sought, it would be open to the State to move an appropriate application for extension of time for deciding the revision petitions. Assertion has also been made by the counsel for the State that certain directions have been issued by the Additional Chief Secretary vide order dated 24.07.2018 which has not been complied by the petitioner.

If that be so, it is for the competent authority to take that into consideration with regard to the in-action on the part of the petitioner and pass appropriate orders.

Counsel for the petitioner further states that the petitioner does not want to file any further reply or response except for the written submissions which have already been submitted by the petitioner.

In view of the above, these writ petitions are disposed of with directions to the Additional Chief Secretary, Cooperation, Government of Haryana to consider and decide the revision petitions pending before the said authority within a period of six months from 31.05.2019.

May 24, 2019

pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No