

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(291)

CRM-M-40376-2018

Decided on: February 20, 2019.

NANDU

.... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA & OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. B.K. Majoka, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.R.Singla, DAG, Haryana.

-*_

Learned State counsel submits that an un-traced report has been filed before the trial Court and the petitioner has filed his protest petition. Thus, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

The present petition is accordingly disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

Petitioner is at liberty to avail his remedy of protest petition.

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

February 20, 2019.

tarun

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No