

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-19819-2019

Date of decision : 12.12.2019

Jagdish Chand

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.. Respondent

CORAM :HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. PKS Phoolka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K. Bheniwala, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail in FIR No.58 dated 28.04.2013 under Sections 399, 402, 379, 411, 382, 389, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 506, 109 and 120-B IPC; Section 21 of the NDPS Act and Section 25 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Rampura City, District Bathinda.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the allegations in the FIR are that the petitioner and other co-accused were planning to commit dacoity but no act in furtherance thereto, had been allegedly done by the petitioner. He also contends that the petitioner is in custody for about 11 months and is not involved in any other case.

Learned State counsel, upon instructions from ASI Charanjit Sharma, states that 02 out of 16 prosecution witnesses have been examined.

Heard.

In view of the submissions of learned counsel for the petitioner especially when the petitioner is in custody for about eleven months, he is not involved in any other case and the conclusion of the trial is likely to take some time, I deem it a fit case to grant the concession of regular bail to the petitioner.

Therefore, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the instant petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail on his furnishing requisite bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

December 12, 2019

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No