

109
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

SANJIV KUMAR SHARMA
10/05/2019 16:50
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

CR-2729-2019 (O/M)
Date of decision : 28.5.2019

Mukesh Kumar

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

Mandir Dev Gi Baahatmam and another

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.R. Yadav, Advocate,
for petitioner.

-.-

-.-

KULDIP SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Pursuant to last order, copies of interim orders produced in Court today and same are taken on record.

The learned counsel for defendant No. 3-petitioner states that he is proforma defendant, but he has clash of interest with plaintiffs. He was not granted any opportunity. Rather, opportunity was granted to defendants No. 1 and 2 to lead evidence. His evidence was closed without he being given opportunity of hearing.

In these circumstances, without going into merits of case and to avoid delay in disposal of case which is now fixed for arguments, defendant No. 3 is granted one opportunity to lead entire evidence. No further adjournment shall be granted.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

28.5.2019
sjks

Whether speaking order : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No