

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10955 of 2019
Date of decision : 29.04.2019

Deepak Kumar ...Petitioner
Vs.
State of Haryana and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Anil Rathee, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ritu Bahri, J. (oral)

Petitioner has participated in the selection process for the post of Constable (General Duty) under the General category. As per individual marks (Annexure P-11) uploaded on the website of respondent-Commission, petitioner has been awarded 44 marks in the written examination, 05 marks under the Socio-Economic criteria and 06 marks for qualification, total 55 marks.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that 05 marks have not been awarded as father of the petitioner had expired before his (petitioner's) attaining the age of 18 years.

On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioner states that mother of the petitioner is alive. Since, mother of the petitioner is alive, he cannot be treated as orphan. Hence, petitioner cannot get the benefit of 05 marks on this count.

No merits.

Dismissed.

29.04.2019
ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE