

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

1.

CWP-16774-2019

Date of Decision:01.07.2019

Smt. Sunita Rani and another

. Petitioners

Vs.

National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) and
others

. Respondents

2.

CWP-16888-2019

Date of Decision:01.07.2019

Smt. Krishna Rani and others

. Petitioners

Vs.

National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) and
others

. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN KUMAR TYAGI

Present: - Mr.Ashok Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of two petitions bearing **CWP No.16774 of 2019** titled as "**Smt. Sunita Rani and another Vs. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) and others**" [for short 'the 1st petition'] and "**CWP No.16888 of 2019 titled as Smt. Krishna Rani and others Vs. National Bank for Agriculture and Rural**

Development (NABARD) and others” [for short ‘the 2nd petition’] as the prayer made in both the petitions is common.

The petitioners have prayed that the joint inspection report of the godown constructed by the petitioner under Grameen Bhandaran Yojna for which they had availed the loan from the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD) may partially be set aside. The other prayer made by the petitioners is that the respondents have not released the entire amount of subsidy and that the petitioners are also entitled to the amount of interest.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners and after perusal of record, are of the considered opinion that before approaching this Court, the petitioners have not filed any objection to the inspection report raising the infirmity or illegality to the authority concerned and have also not filed any representation for the purpose of seeking payment of remaining amount of subsidy and interest.

In view thereof, the present petitions are hereby disposed of as premature at this stage and the petitioners are given liberty to file objection to the inspection report against which they are aggrieved and also file a representation for the purpose of seeking payment of remaining amount of subsidy and interest, if any.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

(ARUN KUMAR TYAGI)
JUDGE

01.07.2019

Vivek

Whether speaking /reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether Reportable : *Yes/No*