

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

136

**CRM-M-18611-2019 (O & M)
Date of Decision:25.04.2019**

**SIMRAN SAINI @ SHABANA KHATOON
AND ANOTHER**

...PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Petitioners in person.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.(ORAL)

Upon a call given by Bar Association of Punjab and Haryana High Court, the lawyers are abstaining from putting in appearance.

When the case was called, the petitioners have appeared in person to assist the Court.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioners under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for issuance of a direction to the official respondents No.1 to 3 for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 6, who were opposed to the love affair as well as marriage between the petitioners, allegedly performed on 23.04.2019.

It is contended by petitioner No.1 that she belongs to different caste and her parents were opposed to her affair with petitioner No.2 ever since the petitioners fell in love with each other. It is fairly stated by her that they were in love affair for the past three years and during this tenure, despite objections by the parents, no complaint was ever made by any of the

petitioners to anyone much less to the police. It is pleaded in the petition that the parties entered into marriage on 23.04.2019. It is further stated by the petitioners that the girl (petitioner No.1) left her house on 22.04.2019 and at that time intimation was given to the mother and brother and the reason behind was also explained to them.

To a query put by the Court, petitioner No.1 has submitted that her brother had extended threat to them after marriage on 23.04.2019. This submission is without any merit as in the representation (Annexure P-6), this fact is not mentioned, besides, it is already stated by her that she intimated her brother on 22.04.2019 itself about the reason, who never opposed her departure from the house. Therefore, the petitioners have taken inconsistent stand, which is not worth believing.

In view of the above, no ground is made out for invoking the inherent powers under Section 482 Cr.P.C.

Petition is dismissed.

25.04.2019

sheetal

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No