

236

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-18725 of 2019

Date of decision: October 17, 2019

Pawan Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. V.G. Jauhar, Senior DAG Punjab.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J

Present petition has been filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 (for short 'Cr. P.C.') for grant of anticipatory bail to petitioner, in FIR No.60 dated 21.06.2018, under Sections 420, 120 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Sadar Sangrur, District Sangrur.

On 25.07.2019, this Court has passed the following order:-

“Contends that matter has been compromised between the parties.

Learned State counsel seeks time to verify the factum of above compromise.

Adjourned to 26.09.2019 for further consideration.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to join investigation before the Investigating Officer. In the event of his arrest, the Arresting Officer would admit him to interim bail, till the next date of hearing, on his furnishing

adequate bail and surety bonds to his satisfaction. The petitioner is also directed to abide by all the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from police official present in Court to assist him, has apprised the Court that petitioner has deposited an amount of ₹4 lakhs in the accounts of complainant, in pursuance of order dated 16.05.2019 passed by this Court. He has also stated that in terms of order dated 25.07.2019, the petitioner has joined investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

In view of the above, order dated 25.07.2019 granting interim bail to the petitioner, is made absolute, subject to the provisions of Section 438(2) Cr. P.C.

Petition stands disposed off, accordingly.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall fully co-operate with the Investigating Officer as and when called for further investigation.

The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

October 17, 2019

mahavir

Whether speaking/ reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No