

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

261

CRM-M-18899 of 2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 06.05.2019

Harmanjot Kaur and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present:- None for the petitioners.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J. (ORAL)

Even on second call, counsel for the petitioners is not present.

On 26.04.2019, the following order was passed:-

“Harmanjot Kaur and Harparvinder Singh, the petitioners before me, claim themselves to be major. They have pleaded that they have got married against the wishes of respondent Nos.7 and 8 who are related to petitioner No.1-wife and seek protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent Nos.7 and 8. They have placed on record photographs Annexure P-3 evidencing their marriage. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 23.04.2019, Annexure P-5 to respondent No.2.

Mr. Kuldeep Tiwari, DAG, Haryana, has appeared on behalf of the State of Haryana and Mr. Bhupender Beniwal, AAG, Punjab has appeared on behalf of State of Punjab are requested to submit a status report. A copy of the petition be supplied to the State counsels during the course of the day.

In the meantime, respondent No.2 would examine the threat perceptions and if found necessary, interim protection

would be provided to them. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

Adjourned to 06.05.2019.”

Counsel appearing for the State of Punjab has submitted that the statements of petitioners No.1 and 2 as well as Sarpanch of the Village have been recorded wherein they have stated that the petitioners do not have any apprehension to their life and liberty.

Counsel appearing for the State of Haryana has submitted that the statements of respondents No.7 and 8 have been recorded who have stated that they have no objection to the marriage. The statements so recorded are taken on record.

In view thereof, the petition is disposed of having been rendered infructuous. However, the petitioners would be at liberty to file a fresh petition, if there is any threat to their life and liberty.

06.05.2019
Dinesh Bansal

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes / No

Whether Reportable Yes / No